

Advanced political science thinking: it takes a *real* country, or town, to raise almost any child, and it may take nothing less than a city, or continent, if he or she is gay or lesbian

Nota Bene: higher intelligence, and/or a PhD, and/or complete moral integrity may be required to understand this article, based not on political propaganda, but on the almost completely verified model of a new branch of political science, Cesidian political science.

By Hon. Most Rev. Dr. Cesidio Tallini

A U.N. State where gender inequality is very significant is not a country (or town), is not a state (or village), and is not a nation (or parish). It is a clan. In a clan gender inequality is not an important issue, although that doesn't mean that it absolutely shouldn't be. It is a question of legitimate and/or practical priorities, and clans clearly have, and should have, different priorities when compared to countries.

Uncontacted peoples, which are little more than isolated extended families or clans in most cases, and other tribes, nations, or ethnic minorities whose rights are ignored, or go entirely unacknowledged, should not be compelled to behave in more civilised ways, should not be given the burdens of the modern world, without the benefits (if any). It is so-called U.N. Member States alone which have that obligation, or they should be expelled from the United Nations.

A U.N. State where religious discrimination, but not gender inequality, is very significant, is not a country (or town), and is not a state (or village). It is a nation (or parish). In a nation both sexes are supposed to have dignity, but (relatively) homogeneous nations do have the right to influence (or shape) the religious values of their particular population, because a nation (or parish) is supposed to have a single theology, while a state (or village) is not.

A U.N. State where ethnic discrimination, but not religious discrimination, but not gender inequality, is very significant, is not a country (or town). It is a state (or village). In a state (or village) both sexes are supposed to have dignity, and all religious groups within the state are supposed to have dignity, but (relatively) homogeneous states do have the right to favour specific ethnic groups, because a state (or village) is supposed to have a single ethnic group, while a country (or town) is not.

No, Mrs. Clinton was wrong. It really takes a clan to raise a child, not a village.

But it does take a *real* nation (or parish) for that child not to experience sexual discrimination.

It does take a *real* state (or village) for that child not to experience religious discrimination.

And it does take a *real* country (or town) for that child not to experience ethnic discrimination.

No, Mrs. Clinton. It doesn't take a country to raise a child, but it does take a country to raise a child without ever experiencing gender, religious, or ethnic discrimination. And since one does

experience ethnic discrimination even in America, and almost any Italian-American will agree with me on that, when he thinks about how he is treated by Hollywood and American television with all those mafia movies, then which *real* country is this American supposed to move to?

I would like to note that the Netherlands, Sweden, Switzerland, Denmark, Norway, Germany, and Finland seem to measure extremely high at least on the gender equality scale, but unfortunately they don't teach Dutch, Swedish, Danish, Norwegian, Finnish, French or German in most American schools, so moving to those countries is not an option for most Americans. In American schools they teach Spanish, the only "foreign language", it seems, and all the places where they speak Spanish are not *real* countries either!

Will the United Nations please take note of this before the Gods that made man will compel them to, by what some theologians call "Judgement Day"? — Hebrew: *Yom HaDin*; Arabic: *Yawm Ad-Din*.

It should also be noted that while it takes a country (or town) to raise (almost) any child, it may take a city (or continent) if he's gay, as non-cosmopolitan countries (or towns) don't really have the obligation to ensure that sexual minorities are not discriminated, only genuinely cosmopolitan cities (and continents) do.

No, LGBT groups are wrong. It takes nothing less than a city (or continent) to raise a child if he or she is gay or lesbian.

As a practical matter, since virtually all continents are not unified countries, only cities have the right to ensure that sexual minorities are not discriminated.

Russia, still a *country* at best, not a continent in its own right, does have the right to safeguard gender equality, and against religious and ethnic discrimination, while Moscow, and Moscow alone, as a cosmopolitan city may also have the right to ensure that sexual minorities are not discriminated.

This is genuine political science, genuine morality, and not agenda-pushing propaganda.

References

1. Uncontacted peoples. (2013, 7 August). *Wikipedia*, the free encyclopedia. Retrieved 18 August 2013, from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uncontacted_peoples
2. Gender Inequality Index. (2012, 15 October). *Human Development Reports*, United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Retrieved 18 August 2013, from <http://hdrstats.undp.org/en/indicators/68606.html>
3. Tallini, Cesidio. (2013, 15 August). *Scientia politica cesidiana*. Retrieved 18 August 2013, from <http://scholar.ac/spc.html>

All Rights Reserved *Sui Juris* — Tutti i diritti riservati *sui iuris* — Tote le derechos reservate *sui juris*
Print Monopoly (PM) 2013 HMRD Cesidio Tallini (0050001)